

William F. Starling Pro Se P.O. Box 569 Reddick, FI 32686 352-591-4500 Telephone 352-591-4503 Fax



FILED
03 DEC 29 PM 12: 13

LANCES. WILSON

## In The United States District Court

## For The District of Nevada

Thomas A. Dillon, Independent Fiduciary Of Employers Mutual Plans,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No.: CV-N-03-0119-HDM-VPC

OBJECTION TO LEAD COUNSEL AND MEDIATOR

James Lee Graf, et al.

Defendant(s).

## **BRIEF IN SUPPORT**

William F. Starling, on behalf of William F. Starling and all parties similarly situated, objects to and moves this court to dispense with the services of a Lead 'Counsel and Mediator with respect to Mr. Starling. Mr. Starling files this Objection to being forced by the court to contract with a Lead Counsel and a Mediator. Mr. Starling contends that there is no controversy and that this suit is frivolous on its face. Mr. Starling also contends the following:

24/2

- 1. Mr. Starling has every right to be heard and defend himself without the services of an attorney. Mr. Starling does not want anyone to stand between him and the court. Mr. Starling objects to "judicial economy" being placed over the top of his rights.
- 2. Mr. Starling objected or tried to Lead Counsel (over the phone) during the October 20, 2003 hearing, but was not heard by the court on this matter.
- 3. Mr. Starling contends that he cannot be forced nor compelled against his wishes, to contract. Mr. Starling objects to any contracting "on his behalf" without his known, voluntary and written consent. If this is incorrect, please state the law, statute, or rule that compels Mr. Starling to be forced to contract with someone against his wishes.
- 4. Mr. Starling has every right to choose with whom he will or will not contract.

  If this is incorrect, please state the law, statute, or rule that compels Mr. Starling to do otherwise.
- 5. Mr. Starling has every right to choose when he will or will not contract. If this is incorrect, please state the law, statute, or rule that compels Mr. Starling to not have this right.

- 6. Mr. Starling has a right to negotiate in setting the price for any services he contracts for. In contracting, there is an offer and there is acceptance. If this is incorrect, please state the law, statute, or rule that states otherwise.
- 7. Mr. Starling cannot be compelled to contract for services he cannot afford. If forced to contract for services for which he cannot pay, Mr. Starling will be forced by the court into committing fraud—that is using services he knows in advance, which he cannot afford to pay. This would amount to a fraud perpetrated by the court on Mr. Starling, a Lead Counsel or a Mediator.
- 8. Mr. Starling cannot afford the costly services of a Lead Counsel or a Mediator. Mr. Starling contends that he has the right to budget his own income and not be forced to pay for anything that would result in bankruptcy, cause harm to Mr. Starling or his family, or cause Mr. Starling to go into a debt he cannot pay. If this is incorrect, please state the law, statute, or rule that states otherwise. Could Mr. Starling, and others similarly situated, see this "forcing by the court" as extortion under the color of law?

Prepared and submitted by:

William F. Starling

2 3 4 5	
6	
7	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 29

30 31

## Certificate of mailing

I, William F. Starling, certify that on December 22, 2003, I mailed a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing motion via first class mail postage prepaid to:

Robert L. Brace Hollister & Brace 1126 Santa Barbara Street Post Office Box 630 Santa Barbara, California 93102 Richard W. Horton Lionel Sawyer & Collins 50 West Liberty Street Suite 1100 Reno Nevada 89501

William F. Starling

and also:

Edwin L. Worthington U.S. Department of Justice Southern District of Mississippi 188 East Capitol Street, Suite 500 Jackson, Mississippi 39201-0101 Noel Hillman U. S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20530-0001

Charles R. Gross